BIRDS—SHOOTING OF—PROHIBITION REGARDING

462. **Mr. P. K. Krishnan** *(Nominated)*:

താഴെ പറയുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക ഗവൺമെണ്ട് സദയം മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

(എ) കടലോരപ്രദേശങ്ങളിൽ പക്ഷികളെ വെടിവെക്കുവാൻ പാടില്ലെന്ന് ഗവൺമെണ്ട് വല്ല ഉത്തരവും പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ;

(ബി) ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ എന്ത്;

(സി) ഇല്ലെങ്കിൽ പോലീസധികാരികൾ പ്രസ്തുത വെടിവെപ്പ് തടയുന്നതെന്തുകൊണ്ട്?

Khan Sahib Y. Muhammed Roshan Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police)*:

(എ) ഇല്ല.

(ബി) ഉത്തരം ആവശ്യമില്ല.

(സി) പോലീസധികാരികൾ വെടിവെപ്പ തടയുന്നില്ല.

Adjournment motion

Mr. President: I have received from Mr. K. T. Achyutan a notice to adjourn the business of the House to discuss what he calls a matter of urgent public importance, *i.e.*, to make clear whether the wives of Government servants can stand for election in view of the recent circular issued by the Government. That is merely a matter of interpretation and I do not consider it necessary to allow the Hon'ble Member to move for the adjournment of the House. For his benefit I will try to give him what I consider to be the interpretation of the Statute and the Standing Orders. Under the Statute a Government servant is not allowed to offer himself for election. Under the Standing Orders every Government servant is responsible for seeing that his wife does nothing that he himself is not allowed to do. Therefore it seems to me to follow that if the wife of a Government servant allows herself to be put up for election her husband is likely to find himself in serious trouble.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar *(Nellayi)*: What about the husbands whose wives are Government servants?

Mr. C. V. Iyyu *(Kunnamkulam)*: On a point of information, Sir.....

Mr. President: I am not going to discuss the matter further.

I have received a suggestion that in view of the fact that important Bills are under the consideration of the House the order that this House should rise this evening should be amended and that the House should sit to-morrow. I have given this suggestion my careful consideration and have come to the conclusion that we should stick to the arrangement already made. In the first place I am sure that it will be extremely inconvenient to a large number of members if the House sits to-morrow. In the second place I have examined these Bills that are now under discussion and I am bound to say that I cannot see in any of them any matter of such extreme urgency that it cannot wait until another Council meets (*hear, hear*). These Bills need not lapse with the dissolution of the Council, the Standing Orders provide for their continuance at the stage at which they are left at the dissolution of this Council. Further more the discussions in the House the reports of Select Committees and the amendments of which notice has been given, all indicate that these Bills are complicated and intricate and are likely to be amended at the second reading stage. I feel myself that such amendments ought to be given very careful consideration before the Bills are finally passed. For these reasons I have decided that we should stick to the arrangements already made. This Council will conclude its business by this evening.

Legislative Business—Non-official Bills

The Cochin Verumpattamdars Act (Amendment) Bill— (Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan)

Mr. President: The House will now proceed to the third reading of Rao Sahib Ayyappan's Bill.

Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan *(Ezhuvas-Kanayannur)*: Sir, I move that the Cochin Verumpattamdars Amendment Bill may be taken and finally passed.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim *(Cranganur)*: Sir, I beg to second it.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. M. Sivarama Menon *(Advocate-General):* Sir, at this stage, the Government wish to move an amendment to the provisos 2 and 3 passed yesterday. The principle is not at all changed; the principle being that during the boom period when there is an excess in the market value of cocoanuts owing to large fluctuations in the prices, the excess price over the contract rate is to be shared equally between the jenmi and the tenant, and that during depression, the loss should be shared equally between the landlord and tenant. That principle still holds good. What is now sought to be moved is a simpler amendment than the one that was adopted yesterday. The House will remember that yesterday during lunch time, this matter was considered and the amendment of the Hon'ble Member for Kanayannur was moved, on the spur of the moment. It was even, then anticipated that the wording will have to be further simplified at the third reading. I move that for the provisos 2 and 3, the following be substituted:

"(2) Where the money value computed under this sub-clause exceeds the pattam payable in money fixed under the contract of tenancy, the pattam payable shall be the money pattam fixed in the contract of tenancy together with half of such excess."

"(3) Where the pattam payable in money fixed under the contract of tenancy exceeds the money value computed under this sub-clause the pattam payable shall be the money value computed under this sub-clause together with half of such excess".

Now these provisos read better and can be understood better than the amendments passed by the House. There is absolutely no change in the principle.

Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan *(Ezhuvas-Kanayannur):* I accept the amendment.

Amendment put and carried

Motion put and carried

The Cochin Verumpattamdars Act (Amendment) Bill— (Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan)

Mr. President: The House will now resume consideration of Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan's Verumpattamdars Act (Amendment) Bill.

***Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan** *(Vadakkancheri):* Sir, before proceeding further, may I know, on a point of information, whether because of the fact that the Council will be prorogued this evening, as observed by the Hon'ble Chair, these Bills will be carried over to the next Council at the stages at which they stand to-day, in the light of that, if it will not be profitable to pass over this Bill as also the next and also the next one, *i.e.*, the whole of the agenda, for Thursday, and get on to the non-official resolutions rather than spend time over the discussion here without reaching the desired goal. Will it not be more profitable and could not be arranged? That is the suggestion that I make.

Mr. President: It is entirely a matter for the House to decide, but I suggest that these Bills having advanced so far, it would be useful to complete the stage of second reading of at least one of them. if not of the others. I would suggest that for the consideration of the Hon'ble Members but if the Hon'ble Members prefer to pass over the legislative business, it is for them to decide.

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* Sir, may I point out one thing. There are a large number of amendments tabled to these Bills and I understand that the amendments also require further amendments as I found now tabled. I submit that we are not going to reach the goal even if we continue the discussions till the evening. In that view if it is feasible both for the Mover and for the House, it would be better to postpone the discussions.

Mr. President: I would suggest the Hon'ble Mover may put it to the House that further consideration of his Bill be postponed.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Sir, my suggestion is that all the Bills may be postponed.

Mr. President: The Hon'ble Member is not responsible for all the Bills. He is only responsible for his Bill.

***Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan** *(Vadakkancheri):* I am not going to move for the closure of the discussion of my Bill.

Clause 2

Mr. President, Sir, I move that a proviso be added to clause 2 as reported by the Select Committee. The proviso that I propose to add reads like this: With the correction made with your permission, the proviso is like this:

*Speech not corrected by the Hon'ble Member.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly